

Antje Ahrends

Head of Genetics and Conservation, Royal Botanic Garden Edinburgh



From global to local: managing the natural capital of the world's forest

Dr Antje Ahrends











Worth Value of plants is US\$ 35 trillion annually Forests contribute 47%

Loss

Tree cover lost at rate of >100,000 km² per year Short-term monetary returns drive loss

Which scale is appropriate?

Global

Key regulators of planet's oxygen, carbon and climate

Massive scale timber export markets

Local

Sustainable use of forests, restoration, and land-use decisions









1. Tanzania



1. Tanzania

2. China





1. Tanzania

2. China





Case study 1: Tanzanian coastal forests



Can degradation be predicted?



Can degradation be predicted?







- **Dominant forest use charcoal burning**
- Dominant forest use logging of low/medium-value timber
- Dominant forest use logging of high-value timber



The impacts were enormous

- Carbon storage dropped 10-fold. Biodiversity dropped 3-fold
- Loss in tax revenue US\$ 53 million in 2005 alone
- High-value timber will be exhausted in c. 30 years



What difference did the study make?

- Intensification of controls on the ground
- Anti-corruption campaign
- Investment into Participatory Forest



Ahrends et al. 2010. PNAS 107: 14556



Case study 2: China



In the last decade China invested >US\$ 100 billion for forestry

Tree planting

China has the world's largest plantation area

It annually reports more afforestation than the rest of the world combined



www.chinadaily.com.cn; www.oregonlive.com

China experienced a net tree cover loss 2000–2012

Tree cover 2000 Loss Gain

Hansen et al. 2013. Science 342: 850

If so many trees are planted, why so few gains?



China's afforestation effort has focussed on climatically marginal areas



How do the trees survive at all?



97% of the gains are associated with <50% of the investment



Case study 3: South East Asia



Natural rubber

Natural rubber (*Hevea brasiliensis*) major source of world's rubber for high pressure applications

Rubber prices have boomed in the last decade





Rapid conversion to rubber

credit: Science 2009 324:1024



Nature 2009 457:246





In total there are >250,000 km² of rubber, having replaced over 45,000 km² of forest

What are the implications?

Rubber brought wealth to many impoverished areas

Significant loss of natural capital:

Loss of biodiversity, soil productivity and water quality



Is rubber sustainable in marginal areas?



90% plantations in sub-optimal climate



Continental South East Asia is the current hotspot of rubber expansion

There is not a lot of optimal growing space

However, in many of



57% of plantations situated in risk zones



>4,800 km² in zones with frequent extreme events (e.g. typhoons, frost) >6,300 km² at >900 m altitude or on slopes $>24^{\circ}$ >800 km² in dry zone

Economic impacts of environmental damage

- US\$ 250 Mio plantation loss, typhoon, Vietnam, 2013
- 95% plantation loss, cold weather, 4 Provinces in Vietnam, 2010
- US\$ 26 Mio plantation loss, drought, South China, 2010





http://tuoitrenews.vn/society/13714/devastating-loss-of-rubber-forests-to-typhoon-wutip

Aim: avoidance of loss-loss scenarios

Ahrends et al. 2015. Global Environmental Change 34: 48



Royal Botanic Garden Edinburgh

Conclusions

Societal benefits from nature are enormous

Global overviews can serve to optimise decision making





Royal Botanic Garden Edinburgh Combining scales is key for sustainable management of forest natural capital



Royal Botanic Garden Edinburgh

With thanks to

the Scottish Government the World Agroforestry Centre the Kunming Institute of Botany players of People's Postcode Lottery the Global Environment Facility Deutscher Akademischer Austauschdienst WWF Tanzania and TRAFFIC East/Southern Africa